	n the ERNA	NOIT	AL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORIT	,	RECEI	VE	D		Lee of		
To Mc	Knigh	nt, Jo	hn C.	6		-7 APR			'	ì		
			NCKISER PLC ts Department		G	RECKIT BENCKISER DEPT PINION						
Dansom Lane Hull HU8 7DS GRANDE BRETAGNE						(PCT Rule 66)						
						2 506/04						
					11	Date of mailing (day/month/year)		02.04.2	2004			
	licants 057P1	-	ent's file reference			REPLY DUE within 3 month(s) from the above date of mailing						
	mation T/GB		lication No. 2796	International filing date (day/month/year) 30.06.2003				Priority date <i>(daylmonthlyear)</i> 28.06.2002				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C08L91/08												
	licant CKIT	T BE	NCKISER (UK) LIMIT	ED et al	1,	<u> </u>	ΓO:	30	1			
					11		TO:	duri	14/04	6 KH2/4		
1.	This	writte	en opinion is the first d	rawn up by this Interna	ation	nal Preliminary E	CAS Xanii	ENUMBER ty.	1. /			
2.	This		ion contains indications	relating to the following	ems:		11057	PIN	10			
	I ⊠ Basis of the opinion					DIARY				KH		
	#I		Priority Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to a	nov	veltv. inventive s	BEO	ORDSistrial an	nlicability	KH		
	IV		Lack of unity of invent	ion		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			MACOUL			
	V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) v citations and explanations supporting such s					ament I		ventive step or NOW	industrial a	pplicability;		
	VI ☐ Certain documents cited											
	VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3.						auUII	٠			- Cold		
0.	When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit											
	request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d). How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.											
Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendment For the examiner's obligation to consider amendment For an informal communication with the examiner						ts, see Rule 66.4.						
	lf no	reply	is filed, the international p				d on ti	he basis of this o	pinion.			
The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 28.10.2004												
			address of the internation	al	A	authorized Officer		0				



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Lanz, S

ائيلي بد-

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)
Hardy Magliano, N
Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8151



I. Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"):

(iP

	Description, Pages												
	1-1	11	as originally filed										
	Claims, Numbers												
		iiiio, italiibeis											
	1-1	4	as originally filed										
2.	Wil lan	th regard to the lang u guage in which the in	gard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ge in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.										
	The	ese elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:											
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).											
3.	Wit inte	ith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the ernational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:											
		contained in the international application in written form.											
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.										
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.										
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.										
		he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.)										
		he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequencished.	е										
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:										
		the description,	pages:										
		the claims,	Nos.:										
		the drawings,	sheets:										
5.		This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).											
6.	Add	dditional observations, if necessary:											

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Novelty (N)

Claims

1,5,6,13,14

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

7-12

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-4 002 706 (PRETORIUS DIRK JACOBUS) 11 January 1977 (1977-01-11)

Example 2 of document D1 discloses a paraffin wax composition having a 2. penetration value of 41 (10⁻¹ mm, 25°C) comprising a hard wax having a penetration value of less than 2 and a soft wax having a penetration value of greater than 200. Example 5 discloses a paraffin wax composition having a penetration value of 37 comprising a hard wax having a penetration value of less than 2 and a soft wax having a penetration value of 98.

Therefore document D1 destroys novelty of subject-matter of claims 1,5,6,13,14 of the present application. The subject-matter of claims 7-12 deems not to involve an inventive step.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY